Disease-specific adverse drug reaction profiles of adalimumab and etanercept as reported by immune-mediated inflammatory disease patients

Lieke H Roest¹, Leanne J Kosse¹, Jette A van Lint¹, Joep HG Scholl¹, Martijn BA van Doorn², Sander W Tas³, Michael T Nurmohamed⁴, Harald E Vonkeman^{5,6}, Renske CF Hebing⁷, Phyllis I Spuls⁸, Frank Hoentjen⁹, Eugène P van Puijenbroek^{1,10}, Bart JF van den Bemt^{10,11}, Naomi T Jessurun¹ E-Mail: I.h.roest@students.uu.nl

Background

Information on adverse drug reactions (ADRs) is generally clustered for all indications of a drug in the patient information leaflet. However, previous research has shown that participants of the Dutch Biologic Monitor (DBM) that use a biologic for their immune-mediated inflammatory disease (IMID) prefer to receive ADR information tailored to their own biologic and IMID (1). Currently, it is unclear whether the ADR profile of a specific biologic may differ between patients with different IMIDs, which would be vital information for health care providers (HCPs) in their patient guidance.

Table 1. Respondent characteristics

Characteristics	ADA		ETN	
	n=218	%	n=185	%
Female gender, n (%)	140	64.2	129	69.7
Median age (IQR), years	56.0 (46.0-64.0)		58.0 (48.0- 66.0)	
ADR reports	572	100.0	450	100.0
Indication for biologic therapy				
Rheumatoid arthritis	90	41.3	127	68.6
Psoriatic arthritis	46	21.1	35	18.9
Ankylosing spondylitis/axSpA	32	14.7	23	12.4
IBD ^a	50	22.9	0	0.0
Combination therapy ^b				
Methotrexate	63	30.3	70	40.2
Corticosteroids	25	12.0	21	12.1
Thiopurines	18	8.7	1	0.6
No combination therapy	87	41.8	59	33.9
Other	31	14.9	48	28.2

IQR: interquartile range; IBD: inflammatory bowel disease; axSpA: axial spondyloarthritis. **a**. IBD includes Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. **b**. The overall percentage exceeds 100% since patients can have a combination therapy consisting of one or more drugs.

Objective

To determine whether the profiles of ADRs attributed to adalimumab (ADA) and etanercept (ETN) reported by patients in the DBM differs between IMIDs.

Method

The DBM is a prospective cohort event monitoring system for patient-reported ADRs attributed to biologics (2). Study data was extracted from the DBM for the period Jan 2017 – Oct 2020. ADRs were coded according to their corresponding Preferred Term (PT) following MedDRA terminology. Unique PTs were selected per participant and grouped under System Organ Classes (SOCs) (Figure 1) for ADA and ETN. SOCs contributing for <1% to the total number of reported ADRs were grouped as 'other'. Participants with more than one of the included IMIDs, i.e. Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA), Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD, i.e. Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) including Ankylosing (AS), were excluded. Spondylitis Differences in ADR profiles between IMIDs were tested using the Fisher-Freeman- Halton's Exact Test with Monte Carlo simulation. SOCs of interest were separately tested with the Fisher-Freeman-Halton's Exact Test (no simulation) and subsequently corrected for multiple comparisons using the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) correction.

Results

A total of 572 ADR reports from 218 participants using ADA and 450 ADR reports from 185 participants using ETN were analyzed (Table 1). Overall, a statistically significant difference in patient-reported ADR profile between the assessed indications was found for ADA (p=0.011), but not for ETN (p=0.057). The following separate tests for selected SOCs of interest showed a significant difference in the frequencies of 'respiratory, thoracic mediastinal disorders' and and 'musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders' between the different IMIDs for ADA after BH correction, but none for ETN.

Conclusion

Although only ADA shows a statistically significant difference in ADR profile between different IMIDs, more research with a larger sample size might show similar results for ETN. Furthermore, explanations for the differences found, like disease-drug interactions, must be examined. This would help HCPs in providing disease-specific information and patient guidance.

Lege	end		
	Other Cardiac disorders Investigations Psychiatric disorders Vascular disorders Eye disorders Nervous system disord		
	Gastrointestinal disord		
SOX IMD PsA RA	C = Syste = Immu = Psori = Rheu		

IMD	=	Immu
PsA	=	Psori
RA	=	Rheu
IBD	=	Inflan
AS/axSpA	=	Ankyl
n	-	Numb
*	=	p<0.0

Affiliations: ¹Netherlands Pharmacovigilance Centre Lareb, 's-Hertogenbosch, NL; ²Department of Dermatology, Erasmus MC Rotterdam, NL; ³Department of Rheumatology & Clinical Immunology, Amsterdam UMC, location Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam Infection & Immunity Institute and Amsterdam Rheumatology & immunology Center (ARC), Amsterdam, NL; ⁴Department of Rheumatology, Reade and Amsterdam Rheumatology & immunology Center (ARC), Amsterdam, NL; ⁵Department of Rheumatology, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede, NL; ⁶Department of Psychology, Health & Technology, University of Twente, Enschede, NL; ⁷Department of Pharmacy, Amsterdam Rheumatology and immunology Center location Reade, Amsterdam, NL; ⁸Department of Dermatology, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam Public Health, Immunity and Infections, University of Amsterdam, NL; ⁹Department of Gastroenterology, Radboud UMC, Nijmegen, NL; ¹⁰Department of Pharmacy, Radboud UMC, Nijmegen, NL; ¹¹Department of Pharmacy, Radboud UMC, Nijmegen, NL

References:

Kosse LJ et al.; Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2020;19(8):1045-1054. Kosse LJ et al.; Rheumatol. 2020;59(6):1253-1261



5 after Benjamini-Hochberg correction

<u>Figure 1:</u> The disease-specific patient-reported ADR profile of ADA (A) and ETN (B) in IMID patients resulting from the Dutch Biologic Monitor



netherlands pharmacovigilance centre**lareb**